

STATE OF MARYLAND

HARRY HUGHES

Governor

# DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING

BOARD OF APPEALS

1100 NORTH EUTAW STREET **BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201** 

383-5032

-DECISION-

HAZEL A. WARNICK MAURICE E. DILL

THOMAS W. KEECH

Chairman

Associate Members

SEVERN E. LANIER Appeals Counsel

DECISION NO .:

211-BR-84

DATE:

February 24, 1984

CLAIMANT:

Ida F. Golabieski

APPEAL NO .:

11128

S.S. NO .:

EMPLOYER:

L.O. NO:

40

APPELLANT:

**CLAIMANT** 

ISSUE:

Whether the Claimant filed proper claims for benefits within the meaning of §4(b) of the Law.

# NOTICE OF RIGHT OF APPEAL TO COURT

YOU MAY FILE AN APPEAL FROM THIS DECISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAWS OF MARYLAND. THE APPEAL MAY BE TAKEN IN PERSON OR THROUGH AN ATTORNEY IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY OR THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY IN MARYLAND IN WHICH YOU RESIDE.

THE PERIOD FOR FILING AN APPEAL EXPIRES AT MIDNIGHT

March 25, 1984

### - APPEARANCE -

FOR THE CLAIMANT:

FOR THE EMPLOYER:

REVIEW ON THE RECORD

Upon a review of the record in this case, the Board of Appeals affirms the decision of the Appeals Referee.

The Claimant reported to her local office for the first time on September 7, 1983, attempting to file claims for the weeks ending August 13, August 20, and August 27, 1983.

Under  $\S4(b)$  of the law, claims for benefits must be filed in accordance with the Secretary's regulations. The regulations, at COMAR 07.04.02.03 B(2), state that a claim series does not begin until the first day of the calendar week in which an unemployed individual reports and registers for work.

The Claimant, therefore, cannot file backdated claims for the three weeks in question.

### **DECISION**

The Claimant is disqualified from receiving benefits for the weeks ending August 13, August 20 and August 27, 1983, under § 4(b) of the Law.

Chairman

Associate Member

K:D dp

COPIES MAILED TO:

**CLAIMANT** 

**UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE - EASTPOINT** 

# STATE OF MARYLAND HARRY HUGHES Governor KALMAN R. HETTLEMAN

Secretary

Ida F. Golabieski

### DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

### EMPLOYMENT SECURITY ADMINISTRATION 1100 NORTH EUTAW STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201 383-5040

BOARD OF APPEALS

THOMAS W. KEECH

MAURICE E. DILL HAZEL A. WARNICK Associate Members

SEVERN E. LANIER Appeals Counsel

MARK R. WOLF Administrative Hearings Examiner

- DECISION -

DATE:

October 28, 1983

DAIL

APPEAL NO.:

11128

S. S. NO.:

EMPLOYER:

CLAIMANT:

L.O.NO.:

40

APPELLANT:

Claimant

ISSUE:

Whether the claimant filed proper claims for benefits within the meaning of Section 4 (b) of the Law.

### NOTICE OF RIGHT TO PETITION FOR REVIEW

ANY INTERESTED PARTY TO THIS DECISION MAY REQUEST A REVIEW AND SUCH PETITION FOR REVIEW MAY BE FILED IN ANY EMPLOYMENT SECURITY OFFICE, OR WITH THE APPEALS DIVISION, ROOM 515, 1100 NORTH EUTAW STREET, BALTIMORE. MARYLAND 21201, EITHER IN PERSON OR BY MAIL

THE PERIOD FOR FILING A PETITION FOR REVIEW EXPINES AT MIDNIGHT ON

November 14, 1983

## - APPEARANCES -

FOR THE CLAIMANT:

FOR THE EMPLOYER:

Present

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The claimant began employment in July, 1949 as an operator at a salary of \$290.00 weekly. The claimant's last day of employment was August 7, 1983.

The claimant was out on strike, between August 7, and 28, 1983. The claimant did not file her claims in a timely fashion for' the weeks ending August 13, 20, and 27, 1983. The claimant came in on September 7, 1983, filing claims for the above mentioned

weeks. It was the claimant's testimony that she did not know she was to come and file for benefits, and was told by a union representative later on to apply for benefits.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Section 4 (b) provides that an unemployed individual is eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if it is determined that he has made a claim for benefit with respect to such week, in accordance with such regulations as the Executive Director may prescribe. The Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR), Rule .07.04.02.03 d provides that: "In order to claim benefit rights, all individuals, following an initial claim, shall report in person to file their first continued claim on the day and time assigned to them, and thereafter by mail on the prescribed forms issued to them. These forms are to be mailed each week on the Sunday immediately following the close of the claim week for which benefits are claimed."

The above cited portion of the Law is specific in its provision, and proper claims must be filed for a particular benefit week in accordance with the Law, if benefits are to be paid for that week. There are no exceptions provided for under the Law.

In the instant case, it is found that the claimant did not file her claims in accordance with the proper mandates of Article 95 A Section 4 (b) in that she did not report in person to file as she was required to do.

The Law is explicit in as much no exceptions are provided for under this rule.

### **DECISION**

The unemployment payments denied the claimant were proper, because she did not file her claim in accordance with the dictates of Article 95 A Section 4 (b) as she was obligated to do.

The determination of the Claims Examiner, under Section 4 (b) of the Law, is affirmed.

Willie E. Walker APPEALS REFEREE Date of Hearing - 10/10/83 cd/3115 (7145/Hardin)

COPIES MAILED TO:

Claimant

Unemployment Insurance - Eastpoint